

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,529	10/31/2003	Robert H. Wollenberg	T-6298 (538-59)	2770
7590 07/28/2008 Michael E. Carmen, Esq.			EXAMINER	
M. CARMEN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC			WALLENHORST, MAUREEN	
170 OLD COUNTRY ROAD SUITE 400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINEOLA, NY 11501			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/699,529	WOLLENBERG ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1797			
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.	(3)				
(2) Micahel Carmen (App. Rep.).	(4)				
Date of Interview: 23 July 2008	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: none					
Claims discussed: 20, 23-24, 26					
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent 7,150,182					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/699,529

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative agreed to file a terminal disclaimer over U.S. Patent 7,150,182 in order to obviate an obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 20, 23-24 and 26 over the claims in this patent. The Examiner indicated that with an appropriately filed terminal disclaimer over U.S. Patent 7,150,182, the application would be in condition for allowance.